

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/851,208	05/07/2001	Edward Federowicz	3233	
75	90 10/19/2004		EXAMINER	
EDWARD FEDEROWICZ			CONLEY, FREDRICK C	
98 WEST 32NI BAYONNE, N	· · · · · · · ·		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3673	
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summer	09/851,208	FEDEROWICZ, E	DWARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fredrick C Conley	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply will, by stature to reply will, so the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thir d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timel ITHS from the mailing date of this c BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23.	June 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	·		e merits is			
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-9 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdres 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4,5 and 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure.  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A onty documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

**Art Unit: 3673** 

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 4,417,638 to Harvey.

In reference to claim 4, Harvey discloses an air pallet comprising:

a board 22 having a top and a bottom; and

a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air through an intake port, the patient board having an intake port hole 28 adapted for receiving the intake port, and the plenum member further adapted to release the flow through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the board supporting a load. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

Art Unit: 3673

ı

Regarding claim 5, wherein the plenum member further includes an intake port for receiving the flow of pressurized air (col. 3 lines 22-27).

Regarding claim 7, wherein the flow of pressurized air is delivered from a blower motor through an air hose 16.

In reference to claim 8, Harvey discloses an apparatus comprising:

a board 22 having a top side and bottom; and

a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air and release the flow downwardly through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the board supporting a load. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

In reference to claim 9, Harvey discloses a patient levitation apparatus for a patient comprising:

a patient board 22 having a top side and a bottom, the board; and a plenum member 24 attached to the bottom of the board, wherein the plenum

Art Unit: 3673

member is adapted to receive a flow of pressurized air and release the flow downwardly through a plurality of holes (24b) in the plenum member to provide a layer of air below the patient board supporting the patient. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus adapted for use on a hospital bed, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/23/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With regards to the Applicant's recitation the apparatus intended for and supporting a patient or approved for use in a hospital, the invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/851,208

Art Unit: 3673

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fredrick C Conley whose telephone number is 308-7468. The examiner can normally be reached on m-th m-fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on 308-2978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

FC.

MICHAEL F. TRETTEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 358

Page 6